# Developmental dynamics of two bipotent thymic epithelial progenitor types

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04752-8

Received: 22 December 2017

Accepted: 11 April 2022

Published online: 25 May 2022

**Open access** 

Check for updates

Anja Nusser<sup>1,8</sup>, Sagar<sup>2,3,8</sup>, Jeremy B. Swann<sup>1,8</sup>, Brigitte Krauth<sup>1</sup>, Dagmar Diekhoff<sup>1</sup>, Lesly Calderon<sup>1,7</sup>, Christiane Happe<sup>1</sup>, Dominic Grün<sup>2,4,5</sup> & Thomas Boehm<sup>1,6</sup>

T cell development in the thymus is essential for cellular immunity and depends on the organotypic thymic epithelial microenvironment. In comparison with other organs, the size and cellular composition of the thymus are unusually dynamic, as exemplified by rapid growth and high T cell output during early stages of development, followed by a gradual loss of functional thymic epithelial cells and diminished naive T cell production with age<sup>1-10</sup>. Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) has uncovered an unexpected heterogeneity of cell types in the thymic epithelium of young and aged adult mice<sup>11-18</sup>; however, the identities and developmental dynamics of putative pre- and postnatal epithelial progenitors have remained unresolved<sup>1,12,16,17,19-27</sup>. Here we combine scRNA-seq and a new CRISPR-Cas9-based cellular barcoding system in mice to determine qualitative and quantitative changes in the thymic epithelium over time. This dual approach enabled us to identify two principal progenitor populations: an early bipotent progenitor type biased towards cortical epithelium and a postnatal bipotent progenitor population biased towards medullary epithelium. We further demonstrate that continuous autocrine provision of Fgf7 leads to sustained expansion of thymic microenvironments without exhausting the epithelial progenitor pools, suggesting a strategy to modulate the extent of thymopoietic activity.

Differentiation of thymic epithelial cells (TECs) is dependent on the Foxn1 transcription factor<sup>28-35</sup>, and defects in epithelial specification and development are known to block T cell development, resulting in profound immunodeficiency and/or autoimmunity<sup>36,37</sup>. Because the thymic epithelium occupies such a central role in the formation and maintenance of cellular immunity, it has become an attractive target for immunomodulatory and regenerative therapies<sup>38-43</sup> designed to correct congenital lack or iatrogenic loss of thymic tissue or to modify failing central tolerance. However, despite the immunological importance of TECs, central aspects of the biology of these cells remain unresolved. Progenitor activity in the embryonic thymus is associated with cells expressing Psmb11, encoding a thymus-specific component of the immunoproteasome<sup>1,24,25</sup>; however, the presence of a bipotent epithelial progenitor (or multiple bipotent progenitors)<sup>22,23</sup> capable of giving rise to the cortical and medullary regions of the adult thymus, as well as the many different specialized epithelial subtypes<sup>11-18</sup>, has not yet been demonstrated. Here a high-resolution CRISPR-Cas9-based barcoding scheme combined with single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) identifies bipotent progenitors in embryonic and adult TEC populations and shows their developmental relationship. Notably, we also demonstrate that continuous signalling via Fgfr2b causes a massive and sustained quantitative increase in TEC numbers, without altering the dynamic qualitative changes associated with the ageing thymic microenvironment.

#### **Cellular heterogeneity among TECs**

We used scRNA-seq by CEL-Seq2 (refs. <sup>44,45</sup>) to examine the cellular heterogeneity of CD45<sup>-</sup>EpCAM<sup>+</sup> TECs from 4-week-old (postnatal day (P) 28) mice (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b). Cells with similar transcriptional profiles were identified by Louvain clustering using VarID<sup>46</sup>, and their predicted relationships were quantified by VarID transition probabilities. In agreement with previous studies<sup>1,11–18</sup>, the resulting Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plot (Fig. 1a) illustrates the complexity of the epithelial compartment in terms of gene expression profiles and cluster sizes (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1c–f). At this age, few sex-related differences were apparent (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b).

#### Identification of putative progenitors

We next sought to identify candidate progenitor populations within the epithelial compartment. Cells in several of the transcriptionally defined clusters expressed genes associated with mature TECs, including medulary TECs (mTECs; *Aire* and *Ivl*), tuft cells (*Trpm5*), cortical TECs (cTECs; *Prss16*) and nurse cells (*Prss16* and *Cd3e* co-expression, indicative of cTECs with enclosed thymocytes<sup>47</sup>), and were therefore excluded from our search, as mature TECs are unlikely to possess progenitor potential. Furthermore, we excluded highly proliferative cells (expressing *Mki67*)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Developmental Immunology, Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics, Freiburg, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Quantitative Single Cell Biology Group, Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics, Freiburg, Germany. <sup>4</sup>Würzburg Institute of Systems Immunology, Max Planck Research Group at the Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany. <sup>5</sup>Helmholtz Institute for RNA-Based Infection Research (HIRI), Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research (HZI), Würzburg, Germany. <sup>6</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany. <sup>7</sup>Present address: Institute of Molecular Pathology, Vienna, Austria. <sup>8</sup>These authors contributed equally: Anja Nusser, Sagar, Jeremy B. Swann. <sup>5</sup>He-mail: dominic.gruen@uni-wuerzburg.de; boehm@ie-freiburg.mpg.de



**Fig. 1** | **Heterogeneity of TECs. a**, UMAP representation of transcriptome similarities among 6,959 individual TECs derived from 4-week-old wild-type male (n = 2) and female (n = 2) mice. Cell clusters and transition probabilities were inferred with VarID<sup>44</sup>; connections with probability P > 0.001 are shown, with transition probabilities indicated by line thickness and colour. The positions of clusters containing early and postnatal bipotent progenitors and mature cTEC and mTEC clusters are indicated. Colours mark cells in the identified cell clusters. b, Expression profiles of signature genes in individual TEC clusters. c–f, UMAP plots highlighting the aggregated expression profiles

and those lacking expression of Foxn1, which is known to be expressed in TEC progenitor cells<sup>22,34</sup> (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1c-e). We then considered the transition probabilities (links) between the eight remaining candidate progenitor clusters (c1, c5, c6, c8, c11, c13, c19 and c20). Cluster c5 had links to mature cTECs (c3 and c4) and to c1 and c20. of which the latter two expressed *Krt5*, a marker of the mTEC lineage. Except for mature cTECs in c4, c5 exhibited the highest level of *Psmb11* expression, which is indicative of mature cTECs<sup>48</sup> but also cells possessing progenitor potential, at least during embryogenesis<sup>1,24,25</sup> and in the early postnatal period<sup>49</sup>. Hence, c5 exhibited features consistent with a bipotent progenitor. The transcriptomes of c6, c11 and c19 were very similar and had affinity for c1, which itself was connected to c5, c8 and c13 (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1d). Cells in c6, c8, c11, c13 and c19 expressed Krt5 but only low levels of Psmb11, in line with the view that, in contrast to the situation in the embryo<sup>24</sup>, adult mTECs do not directly originate from a Psmb11-expressing TEC compartment<sup>1,25</sup>. Collectively, these analyses suggest the presence of at least two potential bipotent progenitor cell types: one progenitor population exhibiting a bias towards cTEC development (represented by c5; henceforth referred to as 'early progenitors') and another more heterogeneous progenitor population exhibiting a distinct mTEC bias (represented by c1 and c6; henceforth referred to as 'postnatal progenitors').

#### Age-dependent dynamics of TEC populations

Given the presumed developmental dynamics of TEC progenitors, we tested the hypothesis that the early progenitor population dominates

2 | Nature | www.nature.com

of gene groups distinguishing early (c) and postnatal (d) progenitors and cTECs (e) and mTECs (f). g-i, Age-dependent changes in the TEC compartment. Transcriptome features of TEC clusters are shown at various time points expressed as ratios of progenitor and mature TEC gene set transcript counts; the P28 time point was used as a reference. Assignment of clusters to the four main populations in the coordinate system is indicated in g; the sizes of dots correspond to the relative fraction in the TEC population. j, Summary of dynamic changes in the composition of the TEC compartment. yr, year.

in the embryonic and perinatal stages of development, whereas the postnatal progenitor population is more prevalent in adolescent and adult stages. To do this, we assigned four largely non-overlapping gene sets to mark the two progenitor populations (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, and Extended Data Fig. 2a, b) and the mature cTEC and mTEC populations (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). Population-specific scores were calculated by summation of transcript counts in the four separate gene lists. Notably, although the genes in these sets showed different temporal dynamics, the aggregated scores were not dominated by individual highly expressed genes (for example, see Extended Data Fig. 2c, d); pathway analysis associated regulation of cell growth with the two progenitor populations and immune-related processes with the two mature TEC populations (Extended Data Fig. 2e). The aggregated expression levels of progenitor and mature TEC gene sets mark four distinct domains in the UMAP plot of 4-week-old (P28) mice (Fig. 1c-f). At embryonic day (E) 16.5, the transcriptional landscape of TECs was dominated by the cTEC signature and early progenitor cells (Extended Data Figs. 3a, e and 4a). In new-born mice (PO), the number of postnatal progenitors and mTECs began to increase (Extended Data Figs. 3b, f and 4b). At P28, cells with the postnatal progenitor signature were more numerous than those with the early progenitor signature; moreover, the cTEC compartment was much smaller than at earlier stages, with mTEC-like cells dominating the TEC population (Extended Data Figs. 3c, g and 4c). At 1 year of age, the TEC compartment exhibited signs of functional deterioration. At this time, mature cTECs and mTECs made up only a small fraction of the thymic epithelia; by contrast, an unusually large number of cells simultaneously exhibited signatures of both

progenitor types. These features suggest that, in aged mice, expanded progenitor-like cells may have lost their defining characteristics and that this indistinct phenotype is associated with low differentiation potential of these aged progenitors (Extended Data Figs. 3d, h and 4d). In a previous study, a putative progenitor population was identified with a distinct mTEC bias<sup>1</sup>; on the basis of gene expression profiles, the 'intertypical' TECs described in the study are closely related to the postnatal progenitor population defined here (Extended Data Fig. 5).

To visualize dynamic age-related changes in the TEC compartment, we calculated the ratios of transcript scores for early and postnatal progenitors (EP/PP) and for mature cTEC and mTEC populations (C/M) for each cell cluster and plotted them with reference to the P28 time point (Fig. 1g-i). Whereas the E16.5 TEC compartment was dominated by cells closely resembling early progenitors and mature cTECs (Fig. 1g), the P0 time point reflected the transition from an embryonic to an adult TEC compartment, as exemplified by the composition at P28 (Fig. 1h). The 1-year time point was characterized by few mature TECs (Fig. 1i). At this stage, most cell clusters populating the postnatal progenitor compartment (c2, c6, c7, c8 and c9) exhibited increased EP/PP ratios when compared with the corresponding P28 cell clusters, as a result of increased expression levels of genes that are associated with early progenitors; we refer to TECs with this indistinct phenotype as 'aged progenitors' (Fig. 1i, j). Our results support the notion that progenitor compartment(s) increase with age<sup>1</sup>. The age-dependent differences in TEC composition are summarized in Fig. 1j.

#### Shared ancestry of Ly51<sup>+</sup> and UEA-1<sup>+</sup> TECs

To further explore potential progenitor-progeny relationships in the TEC compartment, we developed a high-resolution lineage tracing method based on CRISPR-Cas9-mediated scarring in exon 3 of the *Hprt* gene (Fig. 2a, b and Extended Data Fig. 6a, b). In the *hU6-sgRNA*<sup>Hprt</sup>; Foxn1-cre; Rosa26-flox-STOP-flox-Cas9 triple-transgenic mice used here, TECs are marked in early embryogenesis as soon as Foxn1 expression begins at around E11.5 (ref. <sup>50</sup>). Because essentially all embryonic and adult TECs have a history of *Foxn1* expression<sup>34</sup>, the scars (Fig. 2c) introduced in individual epithelial cells of the thymic rudiment in early embryogenesis indelibly mark their subsequent progeny. As is the case with other barcoding schemes<sup>51,52</sup>, individual scars, referred to as barcodes below, are generated at different frequencies (Fig. 2d); the most frequent sequences were shared by different mice (Extended Data Fig. 6c-e). The total number of different barcodes per thymus was on the order of 500-1,000 (Fig. 2e), close to the number of medullary islets observed in adult mice (300-1,800)<sup>26</sup>. We found a significant enrichment (Extended Data Fig. 6f) of barcodes that were shared by the EpCAM<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup>Ly51<sup>+</sup>UEA-1<sup>-</sup> cTEC and EpCAM<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup>Ly51<sup>-</sup>UEA-1<sup>+</sup> mTEC subsets (Extended Data Fig. 7a-f) of male mice, at several preand postnatal time points (Fig. 2f), suggesting a common origin for these subsets. We then identified barcodes that were represented only twice in the purified TEC populations of all mice, referred to as rare barcodes for the purpose of this experiment, and determined the probabilities of their co-occurrence in cTECs and mTECs of the same mouse versus any other mouse. On average, the corresponding mTEC and cTEC samples from the same mouse shared 3.5% of rare barcodes, whereas samples from different mice shared only 0.27% of rare barcodes. Without prefiltering based on barcode frequency, the fraction of barcodes shared by mTEC and cTEC populations was >50%. The significant degree of co-occurrence of such rare barcodes in mTEC and cTEC samples from the same mouse (Fig. 2g) suggests that cTECs and mTECs have a shared ancestor.

#### Combining scRNA-seq and barcode tracing

Next, we applied simultaneous scRNA-seq and barcode tracing to dissect progenitor-progeny relationships within the TEC compartments of mice of different ages (Fig. 2h-k). To gain insight into the distribution of individual barcodes, we compared the barcode frequencies in each compartment to the expected barcode frequencies obtained from the bulk samples of 33 mice (Fig. 2d and Extended Data Fig. 6g). At E16.5, about two-thirds of the barcode sequences were found in early progenitors; the majority of barcodes enriched in early progenitors were also over-represented in cTECs (for example, barcode 10) (Fig. 2h), confirming the notion<sup>19,21</sup> that the early progenitor population has a distinct bias towards differentiation into cTECs. The presence of shared barcodes (for example, barcode 9) also indicated a developmental relationship between early and postnatal progenitor populations. Some early and postnatal progenitor cells had not yet contributed to cTECs or mTECs by this time in development (barcodes 62 and 65, respectively). At PO, the most notable additions to lineage relationships (Fig. 2i) concerned the presence of a postnatal progenitor giving rise predominantly to mTECs (barcode 56) and the presence of an embryonic progenitor giving rise to both cTECs and mTECs (barcode 8). At P28, cells with the transcriptional signature of early progenitors predominantly gave rise to mTECs (barcode 86) rather than both mTECs and cTECs (barcode 85); the number of postnatal progenitors biased towards mTEC differentiation (barcode 96) increased (Fig. 2j), a pattern that was independent of the sex of the animal (Extended Data Fig. 7g, h). Interestingly, several barcodes uniquely over-represented in mTECs were also observed (for example, barcode 91), suggesting the existence of compartment-specific progenitor activity (Extended Data Fig. 8); the corresponding barcodes may no longer be detectable in the bipotent progenitor populations either because the particular progenitor clones have ceased to exist or because they have too low a frequency to be reliably sampled. Finally, although the TEC compartment of aged mice lacked evidence of productive early progenitor cell types, bipotent progenitors were present (barcode 5) (Fig. 2k); however, most barcodes in aged postnatal progenitors were linked to cells with the cTEC signature, in line with the notion that cTEC-like cells increase in frequency in aged mice<sup>2,9</sup>. Collectively, our results illustrate the advantage gained from using a barcoding scheme in the identification and characterization of progenitor populations and their progeny when this approach is combined with transcriptome data at single-cell resolution.

#### Fgf signalling does not exhaust bipotent progenitors

Next, we combined scRNA-seg and lineage analysis to examine the cellular composition of the TEC compartment under conditions of continuous fibroblast growth factor (Fgf) signalling, which is known to regulate the size of the TEC compartment. For instance, whereas functionally mature TECs are generated in the absence of Fgfr2b, the overall size of the TEC compartment is small, resulting in a hypoplastic thymus<sup>53</sup>; by contrast, mice treated with pharmacological doses of the Fgfr2b ligand KGF, the human homologue of Fgf7, exhibit an increase in the number of TECs<sup>54,55</sup>. However, it is not known whether Fgf stimulation targets progenitors, mature TECs or both. To examine this question, we generated several mouse models for continuous autocrine provision of an Fgfr2b ligand in the thymus. We established that, under physiological conditions, the extent of Fgf signalling in TECs (Extended Data Fig. 9) is determined by limiting levels of ligand(s), rather than the receptor (Extended Data Fig. 10); notably, we found that pharmacological supplementation of the Fgfr2b ligand Fgf7 could be mimicked in vivo by ectopic expression of Fgf7 in the TECs of Foxn1-Fgf7 transgenic mice (Extended Data Fig. 11). Continuous autocrine provision of Fgf7 within the epithelial compartment in this transgenic model increased the number of TECs and thymocytes (Fig. 3a-c) and resulted in a massive and sustained increase in thymus size (Fig. 3d). Except for an increase in the number of Ly51<sup>+</sup> TECs at P28 (Extended Data Fig. 12a-d) and a small reduction in the CD4/CD8 double-positive thymocyte compartment in old age (Extended Data Fig. 12e-h), thymopoiesis occurred normally in



**Projection Capacity of progenitor populations. a**, Schematic of the CRISPR-Cas9-based barcoding system. DSB, double-strand break. **b**, Location of the target site in exon 3 of the mouse *Hprt* gene. **c**, Examples of barcodes; the germline sequence is shown with the sgRNA target and protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequences indicated at top. Nucleotide additions and deletions (dashes) are indicated in red. **d**, Frequencies of individual barcodes in decreasing order. **e**, Number of *Foxn1*-expressing TECs in the thymic rudiment of E12.5 embryos<sup>o</sup> (left; n = 5) and numbers of different barcodes in the thymi of mice of different ages (right): E16.5, n = 6; P0, n = 5; P12–P15, n = 11; >P16, n = 12. The dotted lines indicate the range of the numbers of progenitors previously inferred from medullary islet counts in adult mice<sup>26</sup>. **f**, Enrichment of shared barcodes in the LyS1<sup>-</sup>UEA-1<sup>4</sup> mTEC and LyS1<sup>+</sup>UEA-1<sup>-</sup> cTEC fractions of mice of different ages. Enrichment values were significantly different in the comparison of mice at P0 and

transgenic mice. Age-related diminution of thymopoiesis still occurred under conditions of chronic Fgf stimulation; however, the thymus of aged transgenic mice remained much larger than that of P28 wild-type mice (Fig. 3d). It appears therefore that reduced provision of Fgfr2b >3 weeks (w) (P = 0.009, one-sided Wilcoxon test). E16.5, n = 6; P0, n = 5; -2 weeks, n = 11; >3 weeks, n = 11. For **e** and **f**, boxes extend from the 25th to 75th percentile; whiskers extend to the largest and smallest values; and the median is indicated. See the Methods for a definition of the enrichment value  $E_m$ . **g**, Co-occurrence probability of rare barcodes across pairs of samples highlighting enhanced co-occurrence in mTEC (m) and cTEC (c) fractions of the same mouse; individual mice are identified by number. Data are shown for n = 18 mice. **h**-**k**, P values ( $-\log_{10}$ ) of barcode frequencies indicating co-occurrence of individual barcodes in progenitor and mature TEC fractions (as defined in Fig. 1c-f) at different time points. For **g**-**k**, P values were calculated as described in the Methods and corrected for multiple testing by the Benjamini–Hochberg method. The red numbers refer to clones discussed in the text.

ligands contributes to the age-related progressive diminution of TEC numbers. Of note, expression levels of the *Fgfr2* genes in the different TEC populations were highest in early progenitors and cTECs at all time points (Extended Data Fig. 13a).



**Fig. 3** | **Autocrine Fgfstimulation results in sustained thymic hyperplasia. a**-**c**, Quantitative assessment of thymopoiesis in wild-type (WT) and *Foxn1-Fgf7* transgenic (Tg) mice at 4 weeks and 1 year of age. **a**, WT P28, *n* = 18; Tg P28, *n* = 19; WT 1 yr, *n* = 10; Tg 1 yr, *n* = 18; d. *P* values are indicated from two-sided *t* tests. **d**, Representative photographs of thymi from the mice analysed in **a**; scale bar, 10 mm. **e**-**g**, Transcriptome features of TEC clusters expressed as ratios of progenitor and mature TEC gene set transcript counts. Assignment of clusters to the four main populations in the coordinate system is indicated in **e**; the sizes of dots correspond to the relative fraction in the TEC population. **h**, Summary

of dynamic changes in the composition of the TEC compartment. **i**, **j**, *P* values (-log<sub>10</sub>) of barcode frequencies indicating co-occurrence of individual barcodes in progenitor and mature TEC fractions (as defined in Fig. 1c-f) at two time points. *P* values were calculated as described in the Methods and corrected for multiple testing by the Benjamini–Hochberg method. The red numbers correspond to clones discussed in the text. **k**, Schematic indicating the divergent developmental trajectories of embryonic and postnatal epithelial progenitors. Line thickness corresponds to lineage bias; the dashed line indicates the presumptive lineage relationship of the two progenitor populations.

At the P28 and 1-year time points, the Fgf-stimulated thymic microenvironment also exhibited considerable heterogeneity, in terms of both transcriptional diversity and cluster size (Extended Data Fig. 13b–g). As indicated by the changes in flow cytometry profiles (Extended Data Fig. 12a), the proportion of cells exhibiting the cTEC signature was increased at P28, as was the proportion of early progenitors (Extended Data Fig. 12i). In aged transgenic mice, the TEC compartment exhibited the indistinct phenotype of aged postnatal progenitors (Extended Data Fig. 12j) that was observed in their wild-type siblings (Fig. 1j). The relative shifts in populations under conditions of continuous Fgf stimulation away from mTECs at P28 and away from mature cell types at 1 year of age (Extended Data Fig. 12j) were also apparent from the representation of cell clusters in the coordinate system discriminating the transcriptional signatures of progenitor cells and mature TECs (Fig. 3e–g), a feature summarized in Fig. 3h.

The lineage relationships of Fgf-stimulated TECs at P28 (Fig. 3i) showed the presence of barcodes that were shared by both types of progenitors and mature cTECs and mTECs (for example, barcode 43) and of barcodes that connected both progenitor types and cTECs (for example, barcode 78). The lineage structure in 1-year-old mice (Fig. 3j)

showed a large number of progenitors that did not give rise to differentiated progeny (barcode 119). Other postnatal progenitors gave rise to either cTECs (barcode 116) or mTECs (barcode 8). In contrast to the dominance of lineage-biased progenitors, bipotent progenitors were rare (barcode 113). Collectively, our data indicate that TEC progenitors are not depleted by autocrine Fgf stimulation and actively contribute to the microenvironment in aged mice.

#### Conclusions

Our study provides firm evidence for the contribution of early and postnatal bipotent progenitors to the formation and maintenance of the thymic epithelial microenvironment and reveals several new aspects of TEC biology, First, the two progenitor populations, an early cTEC-biased progenitor type and a postnatal mTEC-biased progenitor type, are already born during embryonic development and co-exist at E16.5, suggesting that some bipotent postnatal progenitors may be descendants of the early progenitor population (Fig. 3k). Second, the identification of private barcodes in both progenitor populations suggests that not all TEC progenitors are active at the same time, a phenomenon that is referred to as dormancy and known from other stem cell systems<sup>56</sup>. Third, the presence of private barcodes in mature cTECs and mTECs suggests the possibility that their corresponding progenitor(s) have been lost, in line with the notion that not all progenitor cells are long-lived<sup>24,25</sup>. Our current barcoding scheme does not allow us to determine whether these mature TECs can self-renew; resolution of this question awaits the use of an inducible version of the current marking scheme. Fourth, because the half-life of TECs is measured in weeks<sup>7</sup>, we conclude that long-term maintenance of the TEC compartment is associated with the activity of the postnatal progenitors identified here. Fifth, although continuous stimulation of thymic epithelia via autocrine secretion of an Fgfr2b ligand greatly increases the number of TECs, the qualitative characteristics of the thymic epithelium remain the same. However, the identification of progenitor populations provides new opportunities for focused pharmacological interventions to modulate the activity of the thymic microenvironment.

#### **Online content**

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04752-8.

- Baran-Gale, J. et al. Ageing compromises mouse thymus function and remodels epithelial cell differentiation. *eLife* 9, e56221 (2020).
- Venables, T., Griffith, A. V., DeAraujo, A. & Petrie, H. T. Dynamic changes in epithelial cell morphology control thymic organ size during atrophy and regeneration. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4402 (2019).
- George, A. J. T. & Ritter, M. A. Thymic involution with ageing: obsolescence or good housekeeping? *Immunol. Today* 17, 267–272 (1996).
- Boehm, T. & Swann, J. B. Thymus involution and regeneration: two sides of the same coin? Nat. Rev. Immunol. 13, 831–838 (2013).
- Steinmann, G. G., Klaus, B. & Müller-Hermelink, H.-K. The involution of the ageing human thymic epithelium is independent of puberty. A morphometric study. Scand. J. Immunol. 22, 563–575 (1985).
- Nikolich-Žugich, J. Ageing and life-long maintenance of T-cell subsets in the face of latent persistent infections. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 8, 512–522 (2008).
- Gray, D. H. D. et al. Developmental kinetics, turnover, and stimulatory capacity of thymic epithelial cells. *Blood* 108, 3777–3785 (2006).
- Griffith, A. V., Fallahi, M., Venables, T. & Petrie, H. T. Persistent degenerative changes in thymic organ function revealed by an inducible model of organ regrowth. *Aging Cell* 11, 169–177 (2012).
- Hirakawa, M. et al. Fundamental parameters of the developing thymic epithelium in the mouse. Sci. Rep. 8, 11095 (2018).
- Gray, D., Abramson, J., Benoist, C. & Mathis, D. Proliferative arrest and rapid turnover of thymic epithelial cells expressing Aire. J. Exp. Med. 204, 2521–2528 (2007).
- Bautista, J. L. et al. Single-cell transcriptional profiling of human thymic stroma uncovers novel cellular heterogeneity in the thymic medulla. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 1096 (2021).
- Wells, K. L. et al. Combined transient ablation and single-cell RNA-sequencing reveals the development of medullary thymic epithelial cells. *eLife* 9, e60188 (2020).

- Miller, C. N. et al. Thymic tuft cells promote an IL-4-enriched medulla and shape thymocyte development. *Nature* 559, 627–631 (2018).
- Kadouri, N., Nevo, S., Goldfarb, Y. & Abramson, J. Thymic epithelial cell heterogeneity: TEC by TEC. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 20, 239–253 (2020).
- Bornstein, C. et al. Single-cell mapping of the thymic stroma identifies IL-25-producing tuft epithelial cells. Nature 559, 622–626 (2018).
- 16. Abramson, J. & Anderson, G. Thymic epithelial cells. Annu. Rev. Immunol. **35**, 85–118 (2017).
  - Takahama, Y., Ohigashi, I., Baik, S. & Anderson, G. Generation of diversity in thymic epithelial cells. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 17, 295–305 (2017).
  - Rode, I. et al. Foxn1 protein expression in the developing, aging, and regenerating thymus. J. Immunol. 195, 5678–5687 (2015).
  - Li, J. et al. NOTCH1 signaling establishes the medullary thymic epithelial cell progenitor pool during mouse fetal development. *Development* 147, dev178988 (2020).
  - Ishikawa, T., Akiyama, N. & Akiyama, T. In pursuit of adult progenitors of thymic epithelial cells. Front. Immunol. 12, 621824 (2021).
  - Liu, D. et al. Canonical Notch signaling controls the early thymic epithelial progenitor cell state and emergence of the medullary epithelial lineage in fetal thymus development. Development 147, dev178582 (2020).
  - Bleul, C. C. et al. Formation of a functional thymus initiated by a postnatal epithelial progenitor cell. Nature 441, 992–996 (2006).
  - Wong, K. et al. Multilineage potential and self-renewal define an epithelial progenitor cell population in the adult thymus. *Cell Rep.* 8, 1198–1209 (2014).
  - Ohigashi, I. et al. Aire-expressing thymic medullary epithelial cells originate from β5t-expressing progenitor cells. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 9885–9890 (2013).
  - 25. Mayer, C. E. et al. Dynamic spatio-temporal contribution of single  $\beta$ 5t<sup>+</sup> cortical epithelial precursors to the thymus medulla. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **46**, 846–856 (2016).
  - Rodewald, H.-R., Paul, S., Haller, C., Bluethmann, H. & Blum, C. Thymus medulla consisting of epithelial islets each derived from a single progenitor. *Nature* 414, 763–768 (2001).
  - Ulyanchenko, S. et al. Identification of a bipotent epithelial progenitor population in the adult thymus. *Cell Rep.* 14, 2819–2832 (2016).
  - Bosticardo, M. et al. Heterozygous FOXN1 variants cause low TRECs and severe T cell lymphopenia, revealing a crucial role of FOXN1 in supporting early thymopoiesis. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **105**, 549–561 (2019).
  - Nehls, M., Pfeifer, D., Schorpp, M., Hedrich, H. & Boehm, T. New member of the winged-helix protein family disrupted in mouse and rat nude mutations. *Nature* 372, 103–107 (1994).
  - Blackburn, C. C. et al. The *nu* gene acts cell-autonomously and is required for differentiation of thymic epithelial progenitors. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 93, 5742–5746 (1996).
- Nehls, M. et al. Two genetically separable steps in the differentiation of thymic epithelium. Science 272, 886–889 (1996).
- Chen, L., Xiao, S. & Manley, N. R. Foxn1 is required to maintain the postnatal thymic microenvironment in a dosage-sensitive manner. *Blood* 113, 567–574 (2009).
- O'Neill, K. E. et al. Foxn1 is dynamically regulated in thymic epithelial cells during embryogenesis and at the onset of thymic involution. PLoS ONE 11, e0151666 (2016).
- Corbeaux, T. et al. Thymopoiesis in mice depends on a Foxn1-positive thymic epithelial cell lineage. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 16613–16618 (2010).
- Nowell, C. S. et al. Foxn1 regulates lineage progression in cortical and medullary thymic epithelial cells but is dispensable for medullary sublineage divergence. *PLoS Genet.* 7, e1002348 (2011).
- Kreins, A. Y., Maio, S. & Dhalla, F. Inborn errors of thymic stromal cell development and function. Semin. Immunopathol. 43, 85–100 (2021).
- Fletcher, A. L., Calder, A., Hince, R. M. N., Boyd, L. & Chidgey, A. P. The contribution of thymic stromal abnormalities to autoimmune disease. *Crit. Rev. Immunol.* 31, 171–187 (2011).
- Campinoti, S. et al. Reconstitution of a functional human thymus by postnatal stromal progenitor cells and natural whole-organ scaffolds. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 6372 (2020).
- Alawam, A. S., Anderson, G. & Lucas, B. Generation and regeneration of thymic epithelial cells. Front. Immunol. 11, 858 (2020).
- Oh, J., Wang, W., Thomas, R. & Su, D. M. Thymic rejuvenation via FOXN1-reprogrammed embryonic fibroblasts (FREFs) to counteract age-related inflammation. JCI Insight 5, e140313 (2020).
- 41. Parent, A. V. et al. Generation of functional thymic epithelium from human embryonic stem cells that supports host T cell development. *Cell* **13**, 219–229 (2013).
- Sun, X. et al. Directed differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into thymic epithelial progenitor-like cells reconstitutes the thymic microenvironment in vivo. *Cell Stem Cell* 13, 230–236 (2013).
- 43. Bredenkamp, N. et al. An organized and functional thymus generated from FOXN1-reprogrammed fibroblasts. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **16**, 902–908 (2014).
- Herman, J. S., Sagar, & Grün, D. FateID infers cell fate bias in multipotent progenitors from single-cell RNA-seq data. *Nat. Methods* 15, 379–386 (2018).
- Hashimshony, T. et al. CEL-Seq2: sensitive highly-multiplexed single-cell RNA-Seq. Genome Biol. 17, 77 (2016).
- Grün, D. Revealing dynamics of gene expression variability in cell state space. Nat. Methods 17, 45–49 (2020).
- Nakagawa, Y. et al. Thymic nurse cells provide microenvironment for secondary T cell receptor a rearrangement in cortical thymocytes. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 109, 20572–20577 (2012).
- Murata, S. et al. Regulation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell development by thymus-specific proteasomes. Science 316, 1349–1353 (2007).
- Yamazaki, Y. et al. PAX1 is essential for development and function of the human thymus. Sci. Immunol. 5, eaax1036 (2020).
- Gordon, J., Bennett, A. R., Blackburn, C. C. & Manley, N. R. Gcm2 and Foxn1 mark early parathyroid- and thymus-specific domains in the developing third pharyngeal pouch. *Mech. Dev.* 103, 141–143 (2001).

- 51. Pei, W. et al. Polylox barcoding reveals haematopoietic stem cell fates realized in vivo. Nature 548, 456-460 (2017).
- 52. Alemany, A., Florescu, M., Baron, C. S., Peterson-Maduro, J. & van Oudenaarden, A. Whole-organism clone tracing using single-cell sequencing. Nature 556, 108-112 (2018).
- Revest, J. M., Suniara, R. K., Kerr, K., Owen, J. J. T. & Dickson, C. Development of the 53. thymus requires signaling through the fibroblast growth factor receptor R2-IIIb. J. Immunol. 167, 1954-1961 (2001).
- 54. Min, D. et al. Sustained thymopoiesis and improvement in functional immunity induced by exogenous KGF administration in murine models of aging. Blood 109, 2529–2537 (2007).
- Rossi, S. W. et al. Keratinocyte growth factor (KGF) enhances postnatal T-cell 55. development via enhancements in proliferation and function of thymic epithelial cells. Blood 109, 3803-3811 (2007).
- 56. Pei, W. et al. Resolving fates and single-cell transcriptomes of hematopoietic stem cell clones by PolyloxExpress barcoding. Cell Stem Cell 27, 383-395 (2020).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution  $(\mathbf{i})$ 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022

### Methods

#### Mice

C57BL/6 mice were maintained in the Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics. Foxn1-eGFP57, Foxn1-cre58, Rosa26-LSL-EYFP59 Foxn1-s-Fgfr2IIIb<sup>60</sup>, pLck-cre<sup>61</sup>, Rosa26-LSL-Cas9-EYFP<sup>62</sup> and Foxn1-mCardinal<sup>9</sup> transgenic mice have been described previously. The Foxn1-Fgf7 transgene was created by inserting a cDNA fragment corresponding to nucleotides 347-934 in GenBank accession number NM 008008 as a Notl fragment into pAHB14 (ref. 63); in some aged female Foxn1-Fgf7 transgenic mice (FVB/N-tg(Foxn1-Fgf7)1<sup>Tbo</sup>/Mpie), the two thymic lobes were asymmetric in size and shape; these mice were not included in our analysis. The Foxn1-Fgfr2IIIb transgene was created by inserting a cDNA fragment corresponding to nucleotides 1214-3366 in GenBank accession number NM 201601.2 as a NotI fragment into pAHB14 (ref. 63) and used to generate transgenic mice (FVB/N-tg(Foxn1-Fgfr2)1<sup>Tbo</sup>/Mpie). The *hU6-sgRNA<sup>Hprt</sup>* transgene was cloned as a Notl fragment into the Bluescript vector and consists of the human U6 promotor (nucleotides 1-264 in GenBank accession number JN255693) followed by the mouse Hprt target sequence (5'-GATGGGAGGCCATCACATTGG-3'; nucleotides 255-274 in Gen-Bank accession number J00423), the sgRNA backbone (nucleotides 218-139 (reverse complement) in Addgene plasmid 42250) and a short 3' sequence (TTTTTTGGAA); for injection into fertilized eggs, the construct was linearized with SacI. Transgenic mice were generated on an FVB/N background (FVB/N-tg(hU6-sgRNA-Hprt)1<sup>Tbo</sup>/Mpie) and subsequently backcrossed to a C57BL/6J background. For timed matings, the day of plug detection was designated as E0.5. Genotyping information is summarized in Supplementary Table 15. Mice were kept in the animal facility of the Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics under specific pathogen-free conditions. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations, approved by the review committee of the Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics and Regierungspräsidium Freiburg, Germany (licences 35-9185.81/G-12/85; 35-9185.81/G-16/67).

#### **KGF treatment**

At the age of 4 weeks, male mice received nine intraperitoneal injections of KGF (Kepivance, Biovitrum, lot D120961G; 5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body mass) at days 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16 and 17; the mice were killed on day 21.

#### Histology

Embryos for RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and subsequently embedded in paraffin using standard techniques.

#### qPCR

The Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast system was used to detect the signal generated with gene-specific primers combined with 5'-FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein)-labelled hydrolysis probes from Universal Probe Library (Roche). Primer sequences were as follows: *Fgf7*, 5'-TGGCTGACACCATGACTAGC-3' and 5'-GGCTACAGGCTGTCGTTTT-3' (probe 42); *Fgf10*, 5'-CGGGACCAAGAATGAAGACT-3' and 5'-GCAA CAACTCCGATTTCCAC-3' (probe 80); *CD31* (*Pecam*), 5'-CGGTGTTCAGC GAGATCC-3' and 5'-ACTCGACAGGATGGAAATCAC-3' (probe 45); *Ly51* (*Enpep*), 5'-TGGACTCCAAAGCTGATCCT-3' and 5'-TCAGCCCATCT GACTGGAAT-3' (probe 83). Expression levels were normalized to those of *Hprt*, using primers 5'-TCCTCCTCAGACCGCTTTT-3' and 5'-CCTGGTT CATCATCGCTAATC-3' (probe 95).

#### RNA ISH

RNA ISH on paraffin sections was performed using DIG-labelled probes as described<sup>64</sup>. Sequence coordinates in GenBank accession numbers were as follows: *Foxn1*, nucleotides 2181–3584 in XM\_006532266.3; *Fgf7*, nucleotides 153–877 in NM\_008008.3; *Fgf10*, nucleotides 859–1570 in NM\_008002.3; *Fgfr1*, nucleotides 761–1614 in NM\_001079909.2; *Fgfr2*, nucleotides 328–800 in EF143340; *Fgfr2\_exon3b*, nucleotides 1819–1964 in NM\_201601.2; *Fgfr2\_exon3c*, nucleotides 2169–2306 in NM\_010207.2; *Hspb1*, nucleotides 224–632 in NM\_013560.2; *Trpm5*, nucleotides 499–962 in NM\_020277.2.

#### Immunohistochemistry

Thymi were fixed in 4% PFA, washed in PBS, incubated in 20% sucrose overnight and embedded in OCT. Sections of 8–10  $\mu$ m were dried overnight at room temperature and before staining were moistened in PBS followed by a 30-min blocking step (PBS with 0.5% BSA, 0.2% Tween and anti-mouse IgG (1:50)). Antibody staining was performed at room temperature in staining buffer (PBS with 0.5% BSA, 0.2% Tween and 3% serum). Sections were stained for 2 h with primary antibodies (Supplementary Table 6) and then for 45 min with secondary antibodies and streptavidin. Sections were washed with PBS between incubations. After staining, sections were mounted in Fluoromount G.

#### **Image analysis**

Images were acquired on Zeiss microscopes (Axioplan 2 or Imager Z1 with ApoTome attachment) equipped with AxioCam MRc 5 cameras.

#### **Flow cytometry**

To generate single-cell suspensions for analytical and preparative flow cytometry of TECs, the procedures described in refs. <sup>18,65</sup> were followed. Relevant staining reagents are listed in Supplementary Table 16. The enzymatic cocktail required to liberate TECs destroys the extracellular domains of the CD4 and CD8 surface markers (but not that of the CD45 molecule); hence, when analysis of thymocyte subsets was desired, thymocyte suspensions were prepared in parallel by mechanical liberation, achieved by gently pressing thymic lobes through 40-µm sieves. To isolate thymic mesenchymal and endothelial cells, the cell suspension of total thymocytes was depleted of CD45<sup>+</sup> cells; the EpCAM<sup>-</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup> cell population was stained for Ly51 and CD31 to purify EpCAM<sup>-</sup>CD31<sup>-</sup>Ly51<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal and EpCAM<sup>-</sup>CD31<sup>+</sup>Ly51<sup>-</sup> endothelial cells. Cell sorting and analytical flow cytometry were carried out using MoFlow and Fortessa instruments, respectively (both from Dako Cytomation-Beckman Coulter); flow cytometry experiments were carried out using FACSDiva and FlowJo software. The fraction of *Foxn1*-expressing cells was determined by eGFP fluorescence emanating from the Foxn1-eGFP transgene<sup>57</sup>, which faithfully recapitulates acute levels of *Foxn1* expression<sup>18,34</sup>. The thymopoietic index was calculated by dividing the total number of thymocytes by the number of TECs.

#### Single-cell RNA amplification and library preparation

scRNA-seq was performed using the CEL-Seq2 method<sup>45</sup> with several modifications<sup>44</sup>. A fivefold volume reduction was achieved using a nanolitre-scale pipetting robot (Mosquito HTS, TTP Labtech)<sup>66</sup>. EpCAM<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup> TECs were sorted into 384-well plates containing 240 nl of primer mix<sup>67</sup> and 1.2 µl of mineral oil (Sigma-Aldrich) replacing Vapor-lock. Sorted plates were centrifuged at 2,200g for 3 min at 4 °C, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C before further processing. To convert RNA into cDNA, 160 nl of reverse-transcription reaction mix including 0.4 µM template-switch oligonucleotide (5'-AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGTGAATrGrGrG-3'; adapted from ref.<sup>68</sup>) and 2.2 µl of second-strand reaction mix were added.

For the purpose of simultaneous transcriptome and barcode analysis, the volume of each well was equally split in half; that is,  $1.1 \,\mu$ l per well was transferred to a new 384-well plate. The original plate (including the mineral oil) was used for barcode analysis, while the copy of the plate was used for analysis of individual cell transcriptomes.

For barcode analysis, all transcripts in each well were amplified by template-switch PCR (5'-AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGT-3' (template-switch oligonucleotide primer) and 5'-CAGAGTTCTACAG TCCGA-3' (short P5 primer)), followed by amplification of the scar region of *Hprt* transcripts (5'-GCCGGTAATACGACTCACTATA GGGAGTTCTACAGTCCGACGATC-6bp UMI-6bp cell barcode-CCAGTT AAAGTTGAGAGATCATCTCC-3' (*Hprt* barcoding primer), 5'-GCCTTGGC ACCCGAGAATTCCATAGCGATGATGAACCAGGTTATGACC-3' (*Hprt* short P7 primer)) using the PrimeSTAR GXL system (Takara Bio). Libraries were completed by addition of full-length adaptors by PCR (RP1, RP11-48 TruSeq Small RNA Illumina adaptor sequences). Libraries from 96 cells were pooled before clean-up. In total, 32 libraries (E16.5 time point), 32 libraries (P0 time point), 28, 40 and 80 libraries (*Foxn1-Fgf7* transgenic mice, P28 time point) and 60 libraries (*Foxn1-Fgf7* transgenic mice, 1-year time point) were sequenced on the MiSeq sequencing system (2 × 300 bp) at a depth of ≥4,000 reads per cell.

Transcriptomes were generated as described previously<sup>66</sup>; for the P28 wild-type dataset from a non-barcoded mouse, the whole sample volume was used for transcriptome generation. cDNAs from 96 cells were pooled before clean-up and in vitro transcription, generating four libraries from one 384-well plate. For all purification steps, 0.8 µl of AMPure/RNAClean XP beads (Beckman Coulter) was used per 1 µl of sample, including in library clean-up.

In total, 32 libraries (E16.5 time point), 32 libraries (P0 time point), 28, 40 and 80 libraries (three P28 time points), 28 libraries (non-barcoded dataset of P28 time point), 32 libraries (1-year time point), 44 libraries (*Foxn1-Fgf7* transgenic mice at P28 time point) and 60 libraries (*Foxn1-Fgf7* transgenic mice at 1-year time point) (each library was generated by pooling 96 cells) were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 or NovaSeq 6000 sequencing system (paired-end multiplexing run, high-output mode) at a depth of ~170,000 reads per cell.

#### Quantification of transcript abundance

Paired-end reads were aligned to the transcriptome using bwa (version 0.6.2-r126) with default parameters<sup>69</sup>. The transcriptome contained all gene models based on the mouse ENCODE VM9 release downloaded from the UCSC genome browser, comprising 57,207 isoforms, with 57,114 isoforms mapping to fully annotated chromosomes (1 to 19, X, Y, mitochondria). All isoforms of the same gene were merged to a single gene locus. Furthermore, gene loci overlapping by >75% were merged to larger gene groups. This procedure resulted in 34.111 gene groups. The right mate of each read pair was mapped to the ensemble of all gene loci and to the set of 92 ERCC spike-ins in the sense direction<sup>70</sup>. Reads mapping to multiple loci were discarded. The left read contained the barcode information: the first six bases corresponded to the cell-specific barcode followed by six bases representing the unique molecular identifier (UMI). The remainder of the left read contained a poly(T) stretch. The left read was not used for quantification. For each cell barcode, the number of UMIs per transcript was counted and aggregated across all transcripts derived from the same gene locus. On the basis of binomial statistics, the number of observed UMIs was converted into transcript counts<sup>71</sup>.

#### scRNA-seq data analysis

Clustering analysis and visualization of all datasets were performed with the VarID algorithm<sup>46</sup>. Cells with a total number of transcripts of <1,000 (1-year wild-type dataset), <1,500 (wild-type and *Foxn1-Fgf7* transgenic P28 datasets) and <3,000 (wild-type E16.5, wild-type P0 and *Foxn1-Fgf7* transgenic 1-year datasets) were discarded, and the count data of the remaining cells were normalized by downscaling. Notably, before normalization, cells yielding transcriptomes containing >2% *Kcnq1ot1* transcripts, a previously identified marker of low-quality cells, were removed from the analysis<sup>72</sup>. Moreover, transcripts correlating to *Kcnq1ot1* with a Pearson's correlation coefficient of >0.65 were also removed. Furthermore, mitochondrial genes as well as *Hprt*  were excluded. The following genes and correlating gene groups were removed from the analysis using the CGenes parameter (all datasets): Jun, Fos and predicted genes with Gm identifiers. For the wild-type P28 datasets, Malat1, Xist and Neat1 were excluded using the FGenes parameter. A pruned k nearest neighbour (kNN) matrix was inferred using the pruneKnn function of VarID with default parameters except alpha (set to 1) and no cores (set to 10). The UMAP representation was used for cell cluster visualization<sup>73</sup>. Transition probabilities between clusters were computed using the transitionProbs function of VarID with the P value set to 0.001. Differentially expressed genes between two subgroups of cells were identified similarly to in a previously published method<sup>74</sup>. First, negative binomial distributions reflecting the gene expression variability within each subgroup were inferred on the basis of the background model for the expected transcript count variability computed by the RaceID3 algorithm<sup>44</sup>. Using these distributions, a P value for the observed difference in transcript counts between the two subgroups was calculated and corrected for multiple testing with the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

#### High-resolution lineage tracing

The lineage tracing method developed here was based on CRISPR-Cas9-mediated scarring in exon 3 of the Hprt gene (Fig. 2a). Repair of Cas9-induced double-strand breaks results in a number of different sequence outcomes, which we refer to here as barcodes because they indelibly mark all cell progeny. Most barcodes carry deletions (Fig. 2b), preventing secondary modifications of their sequence; however, in rare instances, we recorded cells with two barcodes of similar sequence, presumably originating from ongoing modifications of the target sequence or from relaxed X-chromosome inactivation. In bulk and single-cell analyses of male mice, barcodes can be unambiguously read out on both the DNA and transcript level from the single X chromosome. In female mice, which carry two X chromosomes, DNA analysis at the level of cell populations yields ambiguous results; however, for single-cell analysis, the phenomenon of dosage compensation enables unambiguous barcode analysis at the RNA level. For the bulk analyses described here, we therefore used only male mice, whereas single-cell transcriptome analyses were conducted in both male and female mice. In the hU6-sgRNA<sup>Hprt</sup>; Foxn1-cre; Rosa26-LSL-Cas9-EYFP triple-transgenic mice used here, TECs are marked in early embryogenesis, as soon as Foxn1 expression begins; our previous analysis indicated that, at the onset of *Foxn1* expression, the thymic rudiment harbours ~4,000 epithelial cells<sup>9</sup>, thus placing an upper limit on the number of barcodes that can be observed in TECs at later developmental stages. However, the observed number of barcodes was three- to fourfold lower, presumably because the outcome of the repair process is not random; hence, some barcodes, although independently generated, are identical in sequence. The different frequencies of barcode generation must be taken into account when reconstructing lineage relationships; rare barcode sequences are more informative than frequently generated barcodes. On the basis of previous experiments using our Foxn1-cre transgenic line and the accessibility of the Rosa26 locus in TECs<sup>34</sup>, we assume that the overwhelming majority of TECs (>95%) are barcoded early in embryogenesis. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that some cells in the thymic rudiment are recruited into the Foxn1-positive lineage at later stages of development. If so, this would however only lessen the ability to identify clonal relationships across all time points.

#### Single-cell barcoding analysis

Paired-end fastq files were used for the identification of scar sequences in single cells. The first six bases of the left read contained the UMI information, followed by six bases representing the cell barcode. The remainder of the left read contained the *Hprt* scar sequence. The right mate of the paired-end reads also contained the overlapping *Hprt* scar sequence; that is, both reads contained the full scar

sequences. Primers (forward, 5'-GCTCGAGATGTCATGAAGG-3'; reverse, 5'-GGGGGGCTATAAGTTCTT-3') were used to extract the targeted region of the *Hprt* gene containing the edited sequence. Because both the left and right mates of the paired-end reads contain the full scar sequences, only sequences that appeared in both paired-end reads were used for further analysis. Moreover, only cells yielding  $\geq$ 200 reads were included in the analysis; cells were excluded from further analysis if more than one scar sequence was detected in male cells (the threshold for the second sequence was set at  $\geq$ 10% of the major sequence) and if more than two scar sequences were detected in female cells (the threshold for the third sequence was set at  $\geq$ 10% of the second sequence).

#### Barcodes shared by cTECs and mTECs

DNA was isolated from sorted EpCAM<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup>Ly51<sup>+</sup>UEA-1<sup>-</sup> (cTEC) and EpCAM<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup>Ly51<sup>-</sup>UEA-1<sup>+</sup> (mTEC) populations from each mou se, and the region of exon 3 of the *Hprt* gene was amplified using the following primers: 5'-ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCG ATCTTTCATAGAGACAAGGAATGTGTCC-3' (forward, P5-DD302)and 5'-GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCTAGTTGATTATGTAG CAtAGTTTGACAAG-3' (reverse, P7-DD305). Libraries were sequenced at a depth of -250,000 reads per sample on the MiSeq sequencing system (2 × 300 bp).

Next, a table containing the counts of all barcodes across cTECs and mTECs for each mouse (n = 33 mice) was constructed, and the frequency distribution of barcodes was determined (Fig. 2d). To quantify enrichment of shared barcodes between the cTEC and mTEC samples for a given mouse, we first extracted the set of all barcodes  $B_i$  for sample *i* that were observed no more than twice in all animal samples; then, we determined the number of barcodes within set  $B_i$  co-occurring in another sample *j* and divided this by the number of barcodes in  $B_i$  to compute the co-occurrence probability of rare barcodes, termed  $P_{ij}$ :

$$P_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{k \in B_i} \chi_{B_j}(k)}{\sum_{k \in B_i} \chi_{B_i}(k)}$$

Here  $\chi_T(x)$  denotes the indicator function; that is,  $\chi_T(x) = 1$  if  $x \in T\chi_T(x) = 0$  otherwise.

If *i* and *j* denote mTEC and cTEC samples from the same mouse, we would like to test whether  $P_{ij}$  is relatively increased compared with cases where *i* and *j* denote samples from different mice.

An increased co-occurrence probability in corresponding samples compared with all other mice is indicative of a common barcode repertoire and is interpreted to mean that cTEC and mTEC populations in a mouse arise from common progenitors marked by particular barcodes; conversely, a similar ratio across all animals suggests the random occurrence of rare barcodes and argues against a common origin. The observation that some of the rare barcodes are not shared by cTEC and mTEC populations from the same mouse can be explained by the low frequency of these rare barcodes, resulting in sampling dropouts, but may also have a biological explanation, for example, if either mTEC or cTEC progeny derived from the same progenitor have died out. In this context, it is worth noting that, without prefiltering based on barcode frequency, the fraction of barcodes shared by mTEC and cTEC populations was >50%.

To quantify a single enrichment value  $E_m$  for a given animal m, the ratios calculated for a corresponding pair of cTEC and mTEC samples,  $m_{\text{cTEC}}$  and  $m_{\text{mTEC}}$ , from the same mouse were divided (with cTECs or mTECs as a reference) by the average of the ratios for pairings involving either the respective cTEC or mTEC sample and a sample from any other animal, excluding the samples from animal m. The enrichment value  $E_m$  is then calculated as the maximum of these ratios with mTECs or cTECs from the same animal as a reference:

$$E_m = \max\left(\frac{P_{m_{\text{cTEC}}m_{\text{mTEC}}}}{\frac{1}{N-2}\sum_{j\neq m_{\text{cTEC}}\wedge j\neq m_{\text{mTEC}}}P_{m_{\text{cTEC}}jj}}\right)$$
$$\frac{P_{m_{\text{mTEC}}m_{\text{cTEC}}}}{\frac{1}{N-2}\sum_{j\neq m_{\text{cTEC}}\wedge j\neq m_{\text{mTEC}}}P_{m_{\text{mTEC}}j}}\right)$$

Here *N* is the total number of samples and  $\land$  indicates logical conjunction. In the summation of the denominators, we exclude pairings involving the mTEC or cTEC samples for animal *m*.

The enrichment values of shared rare barcodes for each mouse were then plotted for each individual mouse grouped by age bin in Fig. 2f. Note that the analysis of bulk populations is most robust with respect to sampling when the numbers of cTECs and mTECs are approximately equal, as is the case for the P14 time point<sup>9</sup>. In situations where only a small number of barcodes are recovered, a diminished degree of co-occurrence is probably the result of sampling dropouts and the associated reduced statistical power.

#### Lineage analysis

To quantify the enrichment of shared barcodes between different populations within the TEC compartment at single-cell resolution, we first identified the cell clusters representing early progenitors, postnatal progenitors, and mature cTECs and mTECs in the scRNA-seq analysis of the individual mice. For a given mouse, we determined the barcode repertoire and counted the number of cells for each barcode; in the rare instances where a pair of barcodes was observed, we used the combination of barcodes for quantification. Cells with more than two barcodes were discarded, as this situation is the result of cell doublets and/or sequencing errors. For each barcode (or pair of quantified barcodes), we next determined its frequency for each TEC population in a given sample. These frequencies were compared with background barcode frequencies derived from the barcode distribution quantified from the bulk DNA sequencing data (n = 33; Fig. 2d), averaging across all samples. We considered sampling dropouts as a reference background model for technical variability and, therefore, assessed significant over-representation of barcodes on the basis of the estimated probability mass of a binomial distribution with a probability parameter informed by the barcode frequency derived from the bulk sequencing experiments. It is well known that UMI-based abundance derived from scRNA-seq data can be modelled by a negative binomial distribution without explicitly modelling zero inflation and that variability in genes (or barcodes) with low expression is described well by the binomial noise component<sup>71</sup>.

If  $n_{b,i}$  is the number of times barcode *b* was observed in bulk DNA sequencing sample *i*, the background frequency of barcode  $f_b$  was calculated as

$$f_b = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in S} \frac{n_{b,i}}{\sum_{k \in B} n_{k,i}}$$

Here *B* denotes the set of all barcodes, *S* denotes the set of all samples and *N* is the size of *S*.

Assuming binomial sampling statistics, we then calculated the P value  $P_{b,i}$  for the observed number of cells  $c_{b,i}$  with barcode b among all barcode-carrying cells in sample i obtained by scRNA-seq as the right tail probability of the binomial distribution with background probability  $f_b$  and the total number of barcode-carrying cells as parameters:

$$P_{b,i} = \sum_{j=c_{b,i}}^{C_i} B(j|f_b, C_i \text{ with } C_i) = \sum_{k \in B} c_{k,i}$$

These *P* values were further corrected for multiple testing by the Benjamini–Hochberg method, considering all *P* values for all detected

barcodes in a given dataset. For cells with two barcodes, we calculated P values accordingly after multiplying the background frequencies of the co-occurring barcodes. Barcodes were considered informative if their P value indicated a significant deviation from the expected frequency ( $P_{bi} < 0.001$ ).

#### Gene set analysis

Population-specific gene sets were derived by performing differential gene expression analysis of clusters representing early progenitors (c5), postnatal progenitors (c1 and c6), cTECs (c4) and mTECs (c12, c2, c7, c9, c10 and c18) versus all other clusters from data for 4-week-old (P28) mice using the diffexpnb function of the RaceID3 package<sup>44</sup>. Genes with adjusted P < 0.01 and  $\log_2(\text{fold change}) > 1$  were included in gene sets, from which genes with Gm and Rik identifiers were excluded. Overlapping genes between early progenitor and cTEC gene sets were excluded from the early progenitor gene set. Genes included in the gene sets of each of the four populations are listed in Supplementary Tables 1–4.

Although the transcriptional profiles of the progenitor clusters differed from those of the mature TEC subsets by the expression of heat shock protein genes, these genes were not included in the final lists, as they did not distinguish between the early and postnatal progenitors. The final gene sets were analysed for enriched biological processes using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) version 6.8 Analysis Wizard annotation tool<sup>75,76</sup>. In the representation of gene set ratios, clusters expressing T cell progenitor-related genes (representing thymic nurse cells) and parathyroid-associated genes (representing ectopic parathyroid tissue) were excluded.

#### scRNA-seq data comparisons

The present data were compared with publicly available scRNA-seq data for TECs isolated from mice of different ages<sup>1</sup>. To do this, the raw count matrices and metadata describing the nine subtypes of TECs were obtained through the Bioconductor data package MouseThymus-Ageing (https://bioconductor.org; https://doi.org/10.18129/B9.bioc. MouseThymusAgeing). Data normalization, dimensionality reduction and visualization with UMAP were then performed using the default parameters of the scRNA-seq data analysis CRAN package Seurat version 3 (ref.<sup>77</sup>).

#### Statistical analysis and reproducibility

Two-tailed *t* tests were used to determine the significance levels of differences between the means of two independent samples, considering equal or unequal variance as determined by the *F* test. For multiple tests, the conservative Bonferroni correction was applied. For all analyses, several biological replicas were studied; numbers of replicas are indicated in the figures and/or figure legends. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes; blinding and randomization were not used.

#### **Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

#### Data availability

The primary read files as well as expression count files for the scRNA-seq datasets reported in this paper are available to download from GEO (accession number GSE106856). Source data are provided with this paper.

- Terszowski, G. et al. Evidence for a functional second thymus in mice. Science 312, 284–287 (2006).
- Soza-Ried, C., Bleul, C. C., Schorpp, M. & Boehm, T. Maintenance of thymic epithelial phenotype requires extrinsic signals in mouse and zebrafish. J. Immunol. 181, 5272–5277 (2008).
- 59. Srinivas, S. et al. Cre reporter strains produced by targeted insertion of *EYFP* and *ECFP* into the *ROSA26* locus. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **1**, 4 (2001).
- 60. Schlake, T. FGF signals specifically regulate the structure of hair shaft medulla via IGF-binding protein 5. *Development* **132**, 2981–2990 (2005).
- Orban, P. C., Chui, D. & Marth, J. D. Tissue- and site-specific DNA recombination in transgenic mice. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 89, 6861–6865 (1992).
- 62. Platt, R. J. et al. CRISPR-Cas9 knockin mice for genome editing and cancer modeling. *Cell* **159**, 440–455 (2014).
- Bleul, C. C. & Boehm, T. BMP signaling is required for normal thymus development. J. Immunol. 175, 5213–5221 (2005).
- Swann, J. B., Happe, C. & Boehm, T. Elevated levels of Wnt signaling disrupt thymus morphogenesis and function. Sci. Rep. 7, 785 (2017).
- Nagakubo, D., Krauth, B. & Boehm, T. Genetic and non-genetic determinants of thymic epithelial cell number and function. Sci. Rep. 7, 10314 (2017).
- Sagar, J. S. H., Pospisilik, J. A. & Grün, D. High-throughput single-cell RNA sequencing and data analysis. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1766**, 257–283 (2018).
- Herrtwich, L. et al. DNA damage signaling instructs polyploid macrophage fate in granulomas. Cell 167, 1264–1280 (2016).
- Ramskold, D. et al. Full-length mRNA-Seq from single-cell levels of RNA and individual circulating tumor cells. Nat. Biotechnol. 30, 777–782 (2012).
- Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 26, 589–595 (2010).
- Baker, S. C. et al. The External RNA Controls Consortium: a progress report. Nat. Methods 2, 731–734 (2005).
- Grün, D., Kester, L. & van Oudenaarden, A. Validation of noise models for single-cell transcriptomics. Nat. Methods 11, 637–640 (2014).
- 72. Grün, D. et al. De novo prediction of stem cell identity using single-cell transcriptome data. *Cell Stem Cell* **19**, 266–277 (2016).
- Becht, E. et al. Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. Nat. Biotechnol. 37, 38–44 (2018).
- Anders, S. & Huber, W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol. 11, R106 (2010).
- Huang da, W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 44–57 (2009).
- Huang da, W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 1–13 (2009).
- 77. Stuart, T. et al. Comprehensive integration of single-cell data. *Cell* **177**, 1888–1902 (2019).
- van den Brink, S. C. et al. Single-cell sequencing reveals dissociation-induced gene expression in tissue subpopulations. *Nat. Methods* 14, 935–936 (2017).
- Yeh, B. K. et al. Structural basis by which alternative splicing confers specificity in fibroblast growth factor receptors. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 100, 2266–2271 (2003).
- Ohuchi, H. et al. FGF10 acts as a major ligand for FGF receptor 2 IIIb in mouse multi-organ development. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 277, 643–649 (2000).
- Celli, G., LaRochelle, W. J., Mackem, S., Sharp, R. & Merlino, G. Soluble dominant-negative receptor uncovers essential roles for fibroblast growth factors in multi-organ induction and patterning. *EMBO J.* 17, 1642–1655 (1998).

Acknowledgements We thank the late M. Kijima for her contribution to the initial implementation of the barcoding system, B. Kanzler for help with the generation of transgenic mouse lines, K. Schuldes and A. Würch for help with cell sorting, and U. Bönisch for assistance with high-throughput sequencing. This work was supported by the Max Planck Society, the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013; ERC grant agreement no. 323126 to T.B.) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant GR4980/3-1 to D.G.).

Author contributions All authors designed experiments and analysed data. A.N., S., J.B.S., B.K., D.D., L.C. and C.H. performed experiments; A.N., S., B.K. and D.D. carried out scRNA-seq experiments; A.N., S., D.G. and T.B. analysed scRNA-seq data; A.N., J.B.S., B.K., D.D., L.C. and T.B. generated and analysed transgenic mice; D.G. devised the computational analysis of barcode data; T.B. and D.G. co-directed the study. T.B. conceived the study and wrote the paper with input from all authors.

Funding Open access funding provided by Max Planck Society.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04752-8.

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Dominic Grün or Thomas Boehm.

Peer review information Nature thanks Hans-Reimer Rodewald, Sarah Teichmann and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints.



Extended Data Fig. 1 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 1 | Characterization of TECs isolated from 4 week-old

**mice. a**, UMAP representation highlighting the 20 clusters identified by VarID. **b**, Distribution of male and female TECs (colour-coded) in the UMAP map. **c**, Expression levels of cell cycle-related genes (see Supplementary Table 5). Cluster 3 corresponds to thymic nurse cells, which have a mixed phenotype of cTECs and thymocytes, the latter likely contributing to the proliferative signature; cluster 15 has affinities to the postnatal progenitor cluster but already expresses significant levels of *Aire*, a marker of mature mTECs, suggesting that this cluster harbours transit amplifying cells feeding into the mature mTEC populations. The first and third quartiles are marked by the box, the median is denoted by a horizontal line, the boundaries of the whiskers are set at 1.5 times the interquartile range, outliers are indicated as dots outside the boundary of the whiskers. Numbers of cells are as follows: Cluster 1, n = 516; cluster 2, n = 697; cluster 3, n = 288; cluster 4, n = 449; cluster 5, n = 296; cluster 6, n = 420; cluster 7, n = 773; cluster 8, n = 443; cluster 9, n = 136; cluster 10,

n = 418; cluster 11, n = 306; cluster 12, n = 670; cluster 13, n = 81; cluster 14, n = 222; cluster 15, n = 401; cluster 16, n = 61; cluster 17, n = 21; cluster 18, n = 203; cluster 19, n = 189; cluster 20, n = 369. **d**, Expression profiles of the indicated signature genes. **e**, RNA *in situ* hybridization depicting *Hspb1* expression patterns in the thymus of 4-week-old mice; cortex (c) and medulla (m) are indicated, dashed lines highlight the cortico-medullary junction. *Hspb1*, as well as other genes not shown here, are often referred to as stress-related genes that may become upregulated during tissue dissociation and single-cell isolation, causing artefactual associations in the transcriptome analysis<sup>78</sup>. However, when assayed by RNA *in situ* hybridization in the intact thymic lobe of 4-weekold mice, *Hspb1* marks a subset of medullary cells, indicating that its expression is an intrinsic characteristic of TECs and confirming that its expression profile deduced from scRNA-seq (see panel d) is not affected by the isolation procedure. Scale bar, 0.5 mm. **f**, Number of cells in individual TEC clusters. Cluster 17 represents cells derived from ectopic parathyroid tissue.





sets (Supplementary Tables 1–4) characterizing early and postnatal progenitors and mature cTECs and mTECs. The three most enriched biological processes each as defined by the database for annotation, visualization and integrated discovery (DAVID) annotation tool<sup>75,76</sup> are shown; the genes driving the enrichment for the GO categories are listed in Supplementary Table 6. Both progenitor populations express a number of heat shock protein genes, which are not considered here.



**Extended Data Fig. 3** | **Age-dependent changes in the TEC compartment. a**-d, UMAP representation of transcriptome similarities between individual TECs isolated from thymi at various time points. Note that for P28, a combined analysis of three mice (2 females, 1 male) is shown, as they were subsequently used for the barcoding analysis; in Fig. 1, data from an additional non-barcoded mouse is included. Left panels in a-d indicate the cluster designation deduced by VarID. The right panels indicate the transcriptional relationships in terms of VarID-derived transition probabilities; connections with probabilities P > 0.001 are shown and the transition probabilities are indicated by line thickness and colouring. For orientation purposes, the major cell populations

are also indicated. Cells derived from ectopic parathyroid tissue were detected at P28 (**c**, cluster 8), and 1 year (**d**, clusters 3 and 5). **e**–**h**, Expression profiles of TEC clusters for the indicated signature genes and the four time points. The fractions of each cluster expressing a particular gene and their respective expression levels are depicted according to the scales shown on the right. Dot colour represents the z-score of the mean expression levels of the gene in the respective cluster, and dot size represents the fraction of cells in the cluster expressing the gene; gene names are coloured according to shared expression patterns (EP: green; PP: orange; cTEC, blue; mTEC, red; other genes of interest, black). z-scores above 1 and below –2 are replaced by 1 and -1 respectively.



**Extended Data Fig. 4** | **Age-dependent changes in the TEC compartment. a-d**, UMAP maps of progenitor and mature TEC populations at 4 different time points; embryonic day 16.5 (E16.5), newborn (P0), 4-week-old (P28), and

1-year-old (1 yr). The UMAP maps for P28 mice were generated by inclusion of only barcoded mice.





data of Baran-Gale *et al.*<sup>1</sup> visualized using UMAP. Their 9 different TEC subtypes are distinguished by different colours, matching the code in a-d. **f**, Projection of aggregated read-counts for the early progenitor gene set onto the UMAP, indicating partial overlap with cells referred to as mature and perinatal cTECs. **g**, Projection of aggregated read-counts for the postnatal progenitor gene set onto the UMAP indicating good correspondence with the majority of intertypical TECs.



#### Extended Data Fig. 6 | Characterization of the $sgRNA^{Hprt}$ cassette.

**a**, Schematic of the components of the *hU6:sgRNA*<sup>Hprt</sup> transgene; key features are indicated by name and are colour-coded; the bar representing the hU6 promotor sequence was truncated. **b**, Nucleotide sequence of the  $hU6:sgRNA^{Hprt}$  transgene construct (colour code as in **a**). **c**-**e**, Frequencies of individual barcodes in decreasing order from left to right grouped by the degree of occurrence in the cohort of mice analysed here (n = 33); colours indicate those barcodes that satisfy the criterion indicated at the top right of

each plot.  ${\bf f}, {\tt Scatter}$  plots of barcode frequencies for mTECs and cTECs from the same mouse versus barcode frequencies in cTECs isolated from two different mice. g, Fraction of informative barcodes observed in the TEC compartment of individual mice: informative barcodes are those whose P values indicate a significant deviation ( $P_{bi} < 0.001$  for barcode b in sample i) from the barcode frequencies expected from the background model. Since these values represent singular data points, statistical comparisons were not done. Barcode data are listed in Supplementary Tables 7-14.



**Extended Data Fig. 7** | **Characterization of wild-type TEC subsets at P28.** a, Flow cytometric analysis of EpCAM\*CD45<sup>-</sup>TECs after co-staining with anti-Ly51 antibody and UEA-1 lectin. The cTEC gate is indicated on the upper left (2.64% of TECs), the mTEC gate is indicated on the lower right (75.7% of TECs). b, UMAP representation of transcriptome similarities resulting from the combined analysis of three types of wild-type TECs; EpCAM<sup>+</sup>TECs without further purification, and TECs purified according to positive Ly51 and UEA-1

staining characteristics, with origins of TECs indicated by colours (EpCAM<sup>+</sup> TECs without further purification, grey; Ly51<sup>+</sup>, blue; UEA-1<sup>+</sup>, red). **c**–**f**, Gene expression profiles of *Foxn1* (c), *Psmb11* (d), *Prss16* (e), and *Aire* (f), depicted as normalized absolute counts. **g**, **h**, Reproducibility of lineage relationships in barcoded TEC populations of female mice; see Fig. 2j for the pattern of the male mouse. P values were calculated as described in the Methods section and multiple-testing corrected by the Benjamini-Hochberg method.



Extended Data Fig. 8 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 8 | Characterization of clonal relationships in the mTEC compartment. a, Co-occurrence of individual barcodes in individual TEC clusters (as defined in Extended Data Fig. 3c; 4c) at P28; the -log<sub>10</sub> P values of barcode frequencies are indicated. Aire-positive cells share several barcodes with the postnatal progenitor population but also exhibit private barcodes (barcodes 91 and 102); this observation may be explained by the fact that a certain progenitor originally giving rise to the Aire-expressing cells has ceased to exist; alternatively, sublineage-restricted progenitors and their descendants that appear with developmental time may at some point outnumber the original bipotent ancestor, resulting in a lower sampling probability of the latter. Trmp5-expressing tuft cells<sup>13,15</sup> (cluster18) share barcodes 1 and 68\_5 with the postnatal progenitor population and the Aire\* mTEC compartment, suggesting that they belong to the mTEC lineage. P values were calculated as described in the Methods section and multiple-testing corrected by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. b, c, Expression of Foxn1 (left panel) and Trmp5 (middle and right panels) genes was detected by RNA in situ hybridization using thymus sections of a 4- week-old wild-type mouse; the cortico-medullary junction is indicated by the dashed line; part of the medullary area (boxed) is shown as higher power view. Note that Foxn1-positive cells are present in both cortex and medulla, whereas Trmp5-expressing cells are found in the medulla

only. Trmp5-positive cells express Krt8, but neither Ivl nor Foxn1; Ivl-expressing cells are Krt8 negative (Fig. 1b). d, Identification of scattered Krt18-positive cells in the medulla; most medullary cells express Krt5 (blue) and cortical cells express Krt18 (green). e, Active Foxn1 expression as revealed by the activity of the Foxn1:mCardinal transgene using an anti-RFP antibody (red). f, Foxn1 expression (as in e) in cortical and medullary TECs relative to Krt8 expressing TECs (anti-Krt8 antibody, blue); note that Krt8 typically identifies cTEC (as does Krt18). The rare Krt8 expressing cells in the medulla do not express Foxn1 (arrows). g, Identification of Foxn1-expressing cells (as in e), post-Foxn1 cells. Foxn1 expression is recorded via the Foxn1:mCardinal transgenic construct (anti-RFP antibody; red). Post-Foxn1 cells are identified by Foxn1-activated indelible EYFP expression in the Foxn1:Cre; Rosa26-LSL-EYFP reporter background (anti-GFP antibody, green); note the presence of purely green cells (arrows), indicating that such cells have lost Foxn1 expression. h, Combined analysis of all three cell states; Krt8-positive cells are post-Foxn1 cells (arrows); a magnification of the indicated area is shown on the left. Collectively, these data suggest that the Krt8-positive post-Foxn1 cells in the medulla are tuft cells. Scale bars, 0.1 mm. Panels in b and c are representative of 3 mice; panels in d-h are representative of 2 mice.



Extended Data Fig. 9 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 9 | Characterization of the Fgfr2-signalling pathway in mouse embryos. a–f, RNA *in situ* hybridization (ISH) analysis of mouse embryos. a–e, ISH performed on E13.5 embryos indicates that *Fgfr2111b* (but neither *Fgfr2111c* nor *Fgfr1*) expression is a common feature of pharyngeal epithelia. a, No detectable expression of the *Fgfr1* gene in the thymic epithelium (higher magnification in inset). b, The *Fgfr2* gene is expressed in epithelia of pharyngeal organs, including the thymus (inset). c, Low levels of expression of *Fgfr2111c* in the thymus. d, Moderate levels of expression of *Fgfr2111b* in the thymus; anatomical structures are indicated. e, Expression of *Fgfr2111b* is present in E13.5 *Foxn1*-deficient thymic epithelial rudiment and thus independent of Foxn1 activity. f, Expression of *Fgfr7* (E15.5, middle panel) and *Fgf10* (E13.5, bottom panel) genes in the mesenchymal capsule of the thymus (indicated by arrows), but not in the epithelium that is marked by *Foxn1* expression (E15.5, upper panel). The capsular zone is indicated with dashed red lines in the inset of each panel. **g**, qPCR analysis of gene expression patterns in purified thymic mesenchyme (isolated as CD45<sup>-</sup>EpCAM<sup>-</sup>CD31<sup>-</sup>Ly51<sup>+</sup> cells) and endothelium (isolated as CD45<sup>-</sup>EpCAM<sup>-</sup>CD31<sup>+</sup>Ly51<sup>-</sup> cells) of 4-week-old mice; data are shown as mean±s.e.m. n = 3 for all experiments. *Enpep* encodes the mesenchymal Ly51 marker (note that *Enpep* is also expressed on cTECs, which unlike mesenchymal cells also express the epithelial marker EpCAM); *Cd31* expression marks endothelial cells. This analysis indicates that of the many ligands of Fgfr2b<sup>79,80</sup>, Fgf7 and Fgf10 are expressed by thymic mesenchyme, but not endothelial cells. Embryo genotypes for **a**–**d**, *Foxn1<sup>+/-</sup>*, for **e**, *Foxn1<sup>-/-</sup>*; for **f** and **g***Foxn1<sup>+/-</sup>*. Panels in a-f are representative of 3 mice. Scale bars, 0.1 mm for main panels; 0.05 mm for insets.



Extended Data Fig. 10 | Strategy of transgenic interference targeting the Fgfr2-signalling pathway. a, Schematic illustration of the Fgf signalling pathway in the thymus. The mesenchyme (green) produces Fgf7 (and other Fgfr2 ligands) that bind to the Fgfr2IIIb variant of the receptor expressed by thymic epithelial cells (top row, left panel). In the present study, this pathway is genetically modulated in several ways to explore whether, under physiological conditions, the receptor or the ligand are in excess. We used the Foxn1  $promotor^{63} to \, direct \, expression \, of \, various \, components \, of \, the \, Fgf \, signalling$ cascade in thymic epithelial cells, either singly or in combination. (i) Overexpression of the Fgfr2b receptor (top row, middle panel). The Foxn1:Fgfr2IIIb transgenic is designed to increase the sensitivity of epithelial cells to Fgf ligands. This transgenic constellation should result in a stimulatory effect if the ligand is in excess, because more receptor/ligand complexes can form at the cell surface of the target cell. However, if the ligand rather than the receptor is limiting, providing more receptors should have no effect on the target cell. (ii) Expression of a soluble decoy receptor (top row, right panel). The Foxn:s-Fgfr2IIIb transgenic line expresses a soluble dominant-negative form of the Fgfr2IIIb receptor<sup>60,81</sup> and is expected to disrupt productive Fgfr2IIIb receptor signalling. In this experiment, a decrease in the concentration of free ligand in the extracellular space should reduce engagement of receptors and thus diminish signalling activity. (iii) Autocrine provision of Fgf7 (bottom row, left panel). In the Foxn1:Fgf7 transgenic line, an autocrine loop is generated in the thymic microenvironment by expression of Fgf7 in TECs, resulting in an increase in the concentration of free ligand in the extracellular space. If, in the wild-type situation, receptor molecules are in excess and hence mostly free of ligand, signalling activity should increase; conversely, if under normal circumstances the ligand is in excess over the

receptor, no effect should be seen. (iv) Simultaneous overexpression of both Fgf7 ligand and Fgfr2IIIb receptor (bottom row, right panel). This constellation is designed to test if the effect of excess Fgf7 ligand can be further increased by provision of additional receptors; if so, it would indicate that endogenous receptors are fully occupied by excess free ligand. b, Overexpression of Fgf7 (middle panel) and Fgfr2IIIb (bottom panel) in the thymic epithelium of Foxn1:Fgf7-transgenic and Foxn1:Fgfr2IIIb-transgenic mice respectively, as demonstrated by RNA in situ hybridization performed on sections from E15.5 embryos with the indicated probes. Scale bars, 0.1 mm. Panels are representative of 3 mice. c. Thymopoletic activities in 4-week-old mice of the indicated genotypes; the number of mice per genotype is indicated below each column; data are shown as mean±s.e.m. From multiple comparisons, only the statistically significant differences are indicated. No significant differences between male and female mice were observed; hence, data from animals of both sexes were pooled for the analysis. The variable extents of thymopoietic activity in the seven transgenic mouse lines studied herein indicate that, under physiological conditions, limiting levels of ligand(s) rather than those of the receptor determine the extent of Fgf signalling in TECs; note, for instance, that expression of a soluble Fgfr2IIIb decoy receptor impaired thymopoiesis in a wild-type background and even partially neutralized the autocrine effects of the Foxn1:Fgf7 transgene. t-test; two-sided; multiple-testing corrected by Benjamini-Hochberg method. P values for significant differences (i. e., P < 0.05) are indicated. d, Immunohistochemical analysis of wild-type and Foxn1:Fgf7 transgenic 4-week-old thymi; CD45-positive haematopoietic cells, red; Krt5-positive TECs, blue; Krt18-positive TECs, green. Scale bars, 0.1 mm. Panels are representative of 3 mice.



Extended Data Fig. 11 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 11 | Response of the thymic epithelium to KGFtreatment and autocrine stimulation. These experiments are designed to test the hypothesis that acute provision of an Fgfr2b ligand increases the number of cells expressing the Fgfr2b receptor. a, Flow cytometric analysis of dissociated thymic tissue; the percentage of EpCAM<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup> TECs is indicated in the left panels. The cell surface pattern of TECs is resolved after co-staining with anti-Ly51 antibody and UEA-1 lectin (right panels). The control is from a 2-week-old wild-type thymus; representative profiles for Foxn1:Fgfr2b transgenic cohorts of male mice treated with PBS or KGF (human Fgf7) are shown in the middle and bottom rows, respectively. As shown in Extended Data Fig. 10, the presence of the transgene as such has no influence on the magnitude of the endogenous Fgf7 response. Unlike the response to continuous Fgf stimulation (c.f., Fig. 3), short-term treatment of adult mice with exogenous human Fgf7 (KGF) causes a disproportional increase in Ly51<sup>+</sup> cells at the expense of UEA-1<sup>+</sup> TECs. **b**, Numerical assessment of TEC subsets (n = 3 per condition; data are shown as mean±s.e.m.). At the age of four weeks, male mice received 9 intra-peritoneal injections of KGF at days 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17 and were sacrificed on day 21; note the large increase of Ly51+ TECs after KGF treatment. The lack of a significant increase in thymocyte numbers indicates that the Fgf-responsive TEC compartment initially gives rise to functionally

immature Ly51<sup>+</sup> progeny<sup>18</sup>; this observation supports the notion that the Ly51<sup>+</sup> TEC compartment is functionally heterogeneous and indicates that Ly51 expression as such does not unequivocally identify mature cTECs. t-test; twosided; P values are indicated. c, Age-related down-regulation of Foxn1expression in Fgf-stimulated TECs revealed by flow cytometry. Representative flow cytometric profiles of EpCAM<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup> TECs of 7 to 8 week-old female and male mice with the indicated genotypes; the non-transgenic wild-type cells serve as a negative control for reporter expression levels arising from the Foxn1:EGFP transgene<sup>57</sup>. Note that Fgf7 stimulation does not prevent the agedependent physiological down-regulation of the Foxn1 gene. d, Foxn1-negative TECs once expressed Foxn1, as indicated by the presence of indelible lineage marks in TECs of 4 to 6 week-old female mice (males show the same pattern) as revealed by the Rosa26-LSL-EYFP; Foxn1:Cre reporter line<sup>58</sup>. For c and d, the profiles are representative of at least 4 biological replicates. e, Fgf7 stimulation fails to increase the number of TECs in Foxn1-deficient mice. The numbers of mice in the two cohorts are shown below the histogram; data are shown as mean±s.e.m. Since Foxn1-deficient epithelia do not proliferate in response to Fgf stimulation, all changes in the TEC compartment described here are likely to originate from Foxn1-expressing cells.



**Extended Data Fig. 12 | Changes in the thymic microenvironment upon autocrine Fgf stimulation. a**, Representative flow cytometric profiles of Epcam<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup> TECs from wild-type (left panel) and *Foxn1:Fgf7* transgenic (right panel) mice at either 4-weeks (P28) or 1-year (1 yr) of age (top and bottom rows respectively); the percentages of individual TEC subpopulations are indicated in the respective gates. **b**-**d**, Numerical analysis of TEC subpopulations based on flow cytometry. For b-d, Wt P28, n = 11; Fgf7 tg P28, n = 12; Wt 1 yr, n = 10; Fgf7

tg 1 yr, n = 18. Data are shown as mean±s.e.m. e-h, Flow cytometric analyses of CD45<sup>+</sup> thymocyte populations; DN, CD4<sup>-</sup>CD8<sup>-</sup>; DP, CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup>; CD4SP, CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>-</sup>; CD8SP, CD4<sup>-</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup>. For e-h, Wt P28, n = 11; Fgf7 tg P28, n = 12; Wt 1 yr, n = 10; Fgf7 tg 1 yr, n = 18. Data are shown as mean±s.e.m. t-test; two-sided; P values are indicated. **i**, **j**, UMAP representation of progenitor and mature TEC populations in *Foxn1:Fgf7* transgenic mice at (**i**) P28 and (**j**) 1 year of age.





Extended Data Fig. 13 | Effect of continuous Fgf7 signalling on the TEC compartment in young and old mice. a, Expression of the Fgfr2 gene in cells of different TEC subsets of mice at different time points (E16.5 EP, n = 721 cells; E16.5 PP, n = 166 cells; E16.5 cTECs, n = 1,159 cells; E16.5 others, n = 141 cells; P0 EP, n = 136 cells; PO PP, n = 226 cells; PO cTECs, n = 924 cells; PO mTECs, n = 311 cells; P0 others, n = 148 cells; P28 EP, n = 292 cells; P28 PP, n = 2,302 cells; P28 cTECs, n = 554 cells; P28 mTECs, n = 2,338 cells; P28 others, n = 303 cells; 1yr PP, n = 981 cells; 1yr cTECs, n = 225 cells; 1yr mTECs, n = 209 cells; 1 yr others, n = 87 cells). Data are presented as violin plots; the red dots indicate median expression levels. Negative cells are given a pseudo-count of 0.1. scRNA-seq datasets of barcoding mice across different time points were merged and normalized by downscaling to 1,500 transcript counts in order to calculate the log<sub>2</sub>-normalized transcript counts for Fgfr2. **b**, **d**, UMAP representation of transcriptome similarities between individual TECs isolated from thymi of P28 (b), or 1 year-old (d) Foxn1:Fgf7 transgenic mice. c, e, Cluster designations deduced by VarID indicating the transcriptional relationships in terms of VarID-derived transition probabilities; connections with probabilities

P > 0.001 are shown and the transition probabilities are indicated by line thickness and colouring. For orientation purposes, the major cell populations are also indicated. In panel (e), cluster 5 represents cells derived from ectopic parathyroid tissue. f, g, Expression profiles of TEC clusters for the indicated signature genes and the two time points. The fractions of each cluster expressing a particular gene and their respective expression levels are depicted according to the scales shown on the right. Dot colour represents the z-score of the mean expression levels of the gene in the respective cluster and dot size represents the fraction of cells in the cluster expressing the gene; gene names are coloured according to shared expression patterns (EP: green; PP: orange; cTEC, blue; mTEC, red; other genes of interest, black). z-scores above1 and below -1 are replaced by 1 and -1 respectively. h, Immunohistochemical analysis of thymic lobes of wild-type (wt) and Foxn1:Fgf7 transgenic mice at two different time points. Sections were stained with anti-Keratin 5 (green) and anti-Keratin 18 (red) antibodies, marking medullary and cortical compartments. Scale bars are indicated; panels are representative of 4 mice.

# nature research

Corresponding author(s): Thomas Boehm

Last updated by author(s): 24/03/2022

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

#### **Statistics**

Fora	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	$\boxtimes$	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	$\boxtimes$	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	$\boxtimes$	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
$\boxtimes$		A description of all covariates tested
	$\boxtimes$	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	$\boxtimes$	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	$\boxtimes$	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable</i> .
$\boxtimes$		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	$\boxtimes$	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

## Software and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>					
Data collection	FACS Diva Software v8.0.2, Summit 5.5 (MoFlow)				
Data analysis	FlowJo 9.3.1 for flow cytometric analyses, GraphPad Prism 9 for data presentation For a description of software/codes used for the present study, see J. S. Herman, Sagar, D. Grün, FateID infers cell fate bias in multipotent progenitors from single-cell RNA-seq data. Nat Methods 15, 379-386 (2018). D. Grün, Revealing dynamics of gene expression variability in cell state space. Nat Methods 17, 45-49 (2020).				

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

## Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The primary read files as well as expression count files for the single-cell RNA sequencing datasets reported in this paper are available to download from GEO (accession number: GSE106856). No restrictions apply.

# Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

∑ Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	The sample sizes for each experiment are indicated in the figure legends. Sample sizes were based on our experience and accepted practice in the respective fields, balancing statistic robustness, resource availability, and animal welfare. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size.
Data exclusions	No data were excluded.
Replication	The wildtype scRNA-seq data analyses were replicated 4 times. Experiments were performed independently and results of replicate experiments were in agreement. The presented findings were compared against data from public datasets where applicable. The results of several independent methods, e.g. flow cytometry, immunohistology, and transcriptome analyses of different transgenic mouse strains were in agreement.
Randomization	Provided the transgenic status, age, and sex of mice matched the experimental requirements, mice were randomly assigned to experimental groups.
Blinding	Blinding was not possible, as the thymus phenotype, i.e. the transgenic status of the respective mouse, is evident from flow cytometry or imaging analysis.

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

#### Materials & experimental systems

#### Methods

n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
	X Antibodies	$\boxtimes$	ChIP-seq
$\boxtimes$	Eukaryotic cell lines		Flow cytometry
$\boxtimes$	Palaeontology and archaeology	$\boxtimes$	MRI-based neuroimaging
	Animals and other organisms		
$\boxtimes$	Human research participants		
$\boxtimes$	Clinical data		
$\boxtimes$	Dual use research of concern		

## Antibodies

Antibodies used	α-CD4 (clone GK1.5; FITC conjugate; Cat#100406, BioLegend); α-CD8a (clone 53-6.7; FITC conjugate; Cat#11-0081-82, eBioscience);
	α-CD8a (clone 53-6.7; APC conjugate; Cat#17-0081-82, eBioscience); α-CD31 (clone MEC13.3; FITC conjugate; Cat#102506,
	BioLegend); α-CD45 (clone 30-F11; PE-Cy7 conjugate; Cat#103114, BioLegend); α-EpCAM (clone G8.8; APC conjugate; Cat#118214,
	BioLegend); α-Keratin5 (rabbit polyclonal; Cat#PRB-160P, Covance); α-Keratin-8 (clone Troma-1; produced in-house); α-Ly51 (clone
	6C3; PE conjugate; Cat#12-5891-83, eBioscience); Streptavidin (eFluor450 conjugate; Cat#48-4317-82, eBioscience; Streptavidin (Cy3
	conjugate; Cat#016-160-084, Jackson ImmunoResearch); UEA-1 (FITC conjugate; Cat# FL-1061-5, Vector Laboratories);
	Keratin 18 Ks18.04 (biotin-conjugate; Cat# 61528, PROGEN); mCardinal (RFP) rabbit polyclonal (Cat# R10367, ThermoFisher
	Scientific), MHC2 rat (M5/114.15.2; FITC conjugate; Cat#107606; BioLegend); rabbit IgG (H+L) (goat polyclonal; Alexa Fluor 633
	conjugate; Cat#A-21070; Invitrogen Molecular Probes); rat IgG (H+L) (donkey polyclonal; Cy3 conjugate; Cat#712-166-153; Jackson
	ImmunoResearch). The dilutions used for each antibody are given in Supplementary Table 16.
Validation	see information associated with catalog numbers: https://www.biolegend.com/: https://www.thermofisher.com/: https://
Validation	www.bioz.com/result/anti%20keratin%205/product/Covance; https://www.jacksonimmuno.com/; https://vectorlabs.com/

## Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals	C57BL/6 mice are maintained in the Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics. Foxn1:eGFP, Foxn1:Cre ,Foxn1:mCardinal, Rosa26LSLEYFP, Foxn1:s-Fgfr2IIIb, and Rosa26LSLCas9EYFP transgenic mice were described earlier. The Foxn1:Fgf7 transgene was created by inserting a cDNA fragment corresponding to nucleotides 347 to 934 in NM_008008 as a NotI fragment into pAHB14; in some old female Foxn1:Fgf7 transgenic mice (FVB/N-tg(Foxn1-Fgf7)1Tbo/Mpie), the two thymic lobes were asymmetric in size and shape; these mice were not included in our analysis. The Foxn1:Fgf72IIIb transgene was created by inserting a cDNA fragment corresponding to nucleotides 1214 to 3366 in NM_201601.2 as a NotI fragment into pAHB14 and used to generate transgenic mice (FVB/N-tg(Foxn1-Fgfr2)1Tbo/Mpie). The hU6:sgRNAHprt transgene was cloned as a NotI fragment into the Bluescript vector and consists of the human U6 promotor (nucleotides 1-264 in JN255693), followed by the mouse Hprt target sequence (nucleotides 255-274 in J00423), and the sgRNA backbone (nucleotides 218-139 [reverse complement] in Addgene plasmid #42250), followed by a short 3'-sequence (T6G2A2); for injection into fertilized eggs, the construct was linearized with SacI. Transgenic mice were generated on an FVB/N background (FVB/N-tg(hU6-sgRNA-Hprt)1Tbo/Mpie) and subsequently backcrossed to a C57BL/6J background. For timed matings, the day of plug detection was designated as E0.5. Genotyping information is summarized in Supplementary Table 15. Mice were selected for analysis according to transgenic status, age, and sex according to experimental design. Mice were kept in the animal facility of the Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics under specific pathogen- free conditions.
Wild animals	No wild animals were used in this study.
Field-collected samples	No field-collected animals were used in this study.
Ethics oversight	All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations, approved by the review committee of the Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics and the Regierungspräsidium Freiburg, Germany (licence 35-9185.81/G-12/85; 35-9185.81/G-16/67).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

## Flow Cytometry

#### Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

#### Methodology

Sample preparation	To generate single cell suspensions for analytical and preparative flow cytometry of TECs, the procedures described by Nagakubo et al., Sci. Rep. 2017 and Rode et al., J. Immunol. 2015 were followed. Note that the enzymatic cocktail required to liberate thymic epithelial cells destroys the extracellular domains of CD4 and CD8 surface markers (but not that of the CD45 molecule); hence, when analysis of thymocyte subsets was desired, thymocyte suspensions were prepared in parallel by mechanical liberation, achieved by gently pressing thymic lobes through 40 µm sieves. To isolate thymic mesenchymal and endothelial cells, the cell suspension of total thymocytes was depleted of CD45+ cells; the EpCAM–CD45– cell population was stained with Ly51 and CD31 to purify EpCAM–CD31–Ly51+ mesenchymal and EpCAM–CD31+Ly51– endothelial cells.
Instrument	BD Fortessa II; MoFlow; both from Dako Cytomation-Beckman Coulter
Software	BD FACSDiva for data collection, Summit for sorting TECs, FlowJo for data analysis, statistical analysis with Graphpad Prism
Cell population abundance	Purity was determined by running a purity check of the sorted populations after the sort was completed.
Gating strategy	All samples were initially gated using forward and side scatter to identify events corresponding to cells, doublets are excluded by gating on single cells using forward scatter height vs. area, alive cells were selected by negativity for the viability dye Fluoro Gold, the follow gating steps are according to the marker genes described in the manuscript; see Extended Data Figure 7a.

X Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.